

The Role of Forensic Accounting in Detecting and Preventing Corporate Financial Fraud

Emmanuel Asilaza¹

¹*Department of Accounting & Finance, Salford Business School, University of Salford*

Article history

Received: 28-04-2026

Revised: 10-05-2026

Accepted: 22-05-2026

*Corresponding Author:

Emmanuel Asilaza, Salford
Business School, University of
Salford;

Email: emlazas@yahoo.com

Abstract

Corporate financial fraud continues to impose substantial economic losses globally, undermining corporate governance and investor confidence. This study investigates the role of forensic accounting in detecting and preventing corporate financial fraud using secondary data from the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE), audit firm publications, and government reports. The study was developed using two complementary methods: a comprehensive literature review and careful analytical examination of data. Findings reveal that forensic accounting significantly enhances fraud detection through data analytics, investigative procedures, and proactive control systems. However, its effectiveness is constrained by organizational, financial, and regulatory barriers. The study concludes that integrating forensic accounting into corporate governance frameworks and leveraging emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain is critical for effective fraud mitigation.

Keywords: Forensic accounting, auditing, financial fraud, Fraud Detection

INTRODUCTION

Corporate financial fraud has become increasingly complex and sophisticated in recent years, largely driven by globalization, rapid digitalization, and the continuous evolution of financial systems. As organizations expand across borders and adopt advanced technological infrastructures, opportunities for fraudulent activities have also multiplied (Sekhar et al., 2025). Financial fraud typically involves deliberate deception undertaken for economic gain, often manifested through the manipulation of accounting records, falsification of financial statements, or misrepresentation of an entity's financial position. These fraudulent practices not only distort financial reporting but also undermine stakeholder confidence, disrupt markets, and weaken institutional integrity.

Recent global evidence underscores the persistent and systemic nature of corporate fraud across industries and jurisdictions. According to the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE, 2024), organizations worldwide lose approximately 5% of their annual revenues to fraud, illustrating the substantial economic burden imposed by such activities. The ACFE's 2024 *Report to the Nations*, which analyzed 1,921 fraud cases across 138 countries, estimated total losses exceeding US\$3.1 billion, with an average loss of US\$1.662 million per case. These figures highlight not only the prevalence of fraud but also its significant financial implications for organizations, regardless of size or sector. Moreover, the increasing sophistication of fraud schemes, particularly those leveraging digital platforms and emerging technologies, has made detection more challenging and resource-intensive (Ma & Wu, 2025).

Traditional auditing frameworks, while essential for financial oversight and compliance, have demonstrated notable limitations in detecting fraudulent activities. Empirical evidence indicates that external audits are responsible for identifying only about 3% of fraud cases, whereas the majority are uncovered through whistleblower tips, which



account for approximately 43% of detections (ACFE, 2024). This disparity reflects the inherently reactive nature of conventional auditing processes, which are primarily designed to ensure compliance with accounting standards rather than to actively investigate and uncover fraud. Consequently, the inadequacy of traditional audit mechanisms has necessitated the adoption of more specialized and proactive investigative approaches.

In response to these challenges, forensic accounting has emerged as a critical discipline in the fight against corporate financial fraud. Forensic accounting integrates core principles of accounting and auditing with investigative and legal expertise to identify, analyze, and prevent fraudulent activities. Unlike traditional auditing, forensic accounting adopts a proactive and analytical approach, focusing on uncovering irregularities, reconstructing financial transactions, and gathering evidence suitable for legal proceedings. The discipline plays a vital role not only in detecting fraud but also in supporting litigation and dispute resolution processes.

The evolution of digital technologies has further transformed the scope and effectiveness of forensic accounting. Modern forensic accounting practices increasingly incorporate advanced tools such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, and blockchain technology. These innovations enable real-time data analysis, anomaly detection, and predictive modeling, thereby significantly enhancing the ability to identify fraudulent patterns and prevent financial misconduct. As fraud schemes continue to evolve in complexity, the integration of these technologies into forensic accounting frameworks has become indispensable for organizations seeking to strengthen their fraud detection and prevention capabilities.

Objectives

The study aims to:

1. Examine the role of forensic accounting in detecting corporate financial fraud.
2. Evaluate the effectiveness of forensic accounting in fraud prevention.
3. Analyze emerging trends in forensic accounting (2020–2026).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Corporate financial fraud has emerged as a major threat to organisational sustainability, investor confidence, and global financial stability. High-profile corporate scandals such as Enron, WorldCom, Wirecard, and Steinhoff have demonstrated the limitations of traditional auditing systems in detecting sophisticated financial manipulation schemes. Consequently, forensic accounting has increasingly gained scholarly and practical attention as a specialised field designed to detect, investigate, and prevent fraudulent financial activities within organisations (Kaur et al., 2023). Forensic accounting combines accounting, auditing, investigative, and legal skills to identify financial irregularities and provide evidence suitable for litigation and regulatory enforcement (Okoye & Mbanugo, 2020). Unlike conventional auditing, which primarily focuses on compliance and accuracy of financial statements, forensic accounting adopts a proactive and investigative approach aimed at uncovering intentional deception and fraudulent behaviour.

Existing literature consistently demonstrates that forensic accounting techniques significantly enhance fraud detection capabilities within organisations. Techniques such as data mining, ratio analysis, digital forensics, trend analysis, and continuous auditing have been identified as critical tools for identifying anomalies and suspicious financial transactions (Kranacher & Riley, 2019). According to Ali et al. (2024), forensic accounting strengthens internal control systems by improving transparency, accountability, and monitoring mechanisms within corporate environments. Similarly, Baptiste (2024) argue that organisations that integrate forensic accounting practices into their governance structures experience lower incidences of financial fraud due to improved fraud risk assessment and early warning systems.

Recent studies further indicate that technological advancements have transformed forensic accounting practices. Artificial intelligence, blockchain technology, and predictive analytics are increasingly being utilised to detect complex fraud schemes in real time (Afriyie et al., 2023). These technologies improve the speed and accuracy of fraud detection while reducing human error and manipulation. However, scholars also caution that fraudsters are simultaneously becoming more technologically sophisticated, creating an ongoing challenge for forensic investigators (Rezaee & Wang, 2019). Consequently, effective fraud prevention requires continuous adaptation of forensic accounting tools and professional competencies.

Despite widespread agreement regarding the importance of forensic accounting, significant gaps remain within the literature. First, many studies focus predominantly on fraud detection rather than fraud prevention, thereby overlooking the strategic role forensic accounting can play in strengthening organisational governance frameworks before fraud occurs (Dalwadi, 2023). Second, existing research is heavily concentrated in developed economies such as the United States and Europe, with limited empirical attention given to developing countries where institutional weaknesses,

regulatory gaps, and corruption often increase fraud vulnerability (Capraş, & Achim, 2023). Third, there is limited integration of behavioural and organisational factors influencing the effectiveness of forensic accounting systems. Most studies examine technical tools without sufficiently analysing how organisational culture, management support, and ethical leadership affect fraud prevention outcomes.

Therefore, this study seeks to contribute to existing knowledge by examining the role of forensic accounting not only as a fraud detection mechanism but also as a preventive corporate governance strategy. The study further addresses the contextual gap by focusing on corporate financial fraud within developing institutional environments, where forensic accounting practices remain underdeveloped despite rising financial crime risks.

METHODS

Research Design

This study adopted the approach of Dukic et al. (2023). Accordingly, the article was developed using two complementary methods: a comprehensive literature review and careful analytical examination of data.

The process unfolded as follows:

Literature Review

A thorough examination of academic and professional literature was undertaken to gain deep insights into forensic accounting and auditing. A broad range of authoritative sources, including scholarly articles, books, credible reports, and respected references, was carefully consulted. These sources proved invaluable in developing a comprehensive understanding of the concepts, principles, techniques, and real-world applications of forensic accounting and auditing in the modern business environment.

Data Collection

Data was systematically gathered from diverse sources, including academic databases, Government publications from anti-corruption agencies, ministries of finance, or auditor general reports that document fraud incidence and forensic audits, industry reports (Association of Fraud Examiners (ACFE)), and Audit firm published research, white papers, and benchmark studies on forensic accounting and fraud. This multi-source approach facilitated the identification of concrete examples, case studies, and emerging trends within the field of forensic accounting and auditing.

Analysis and Synthesis

The collected data and literature were subjected to rigorous analysis to reveal key themes, trends, and valuable insights relevant to forensic accounting and auditing. This synthesized information was skillfully integrated, resulting in a coherent narrative that captures the multiple dimensions of the topic, covering the definition and significance of forensic accounting, the critical role of forensic auditing, practical applications, ethical and legal considerations, technological advancements, and a reasoned conclusion.

Framework Construction

A logical framework was carefully constructed to provide structural support for the article, ensuring a smooth flow of ideas and information. Drawing on insights from the literature analysis, distinct sections were delineated, including an engaging introduction, an exploration of forensic accounting, the pivotal role of forensic auditing, real-world applications, ethical and legal foundations, technological progress, and a concluding synthesis. The structure was deliberately aligned with the logical progression of the subject matter.

Writing and Refinement

The synthesized insights and analyses were skillfully woven into an engaging narrative, with meticulous attention paid to clarity, conciseness, and coherence. Rigorous review and revision were performed iteratively to enhance the precision, cohesiveness, and readability of the content. This review focuses on emerging trends, best practices, and technological innovations in forensic accounting, as well as the challenges organizations face in implementing these measures (Adejumo & Ogburie, 2025; KPMG, 2025). By combining these two approaches, the study employed methodological triangulation, thereby enhancing the validity and reliability of the findings.

Data Sources

Data for this study were derived from four secondary sources: the Association of Fraud Examiners (ACFE) 2024 Report to the Nations, audit firm reports (including those from Deloitte, KPMG, PKF, and others), government and regulatory publications, and peer-reviewed articles published between 2020 and 2026. Among these, the ACFE dataset is globally recognized as the most authoritative fraud dataset.

RESULTS

Nature and Prevalence of Fraud

The findings show that corporate fraud manifests primarily in three forms: asset misappropriation (89%), corruption (48%), and financial statement fraud (5%). Although financial statement fraud is the least frequent, it produces the highest median losses, approximately US\$766,000. Forensic accounting involves detecting financial deception and irregularities by integrating accounting expertise, investigative methods, and legal knowledge to examine financial data, uncover fraud, and assist in legal proceedings (Aksoy & Uzay, 2021). Forensic accountants serve as investigators who identify financial misconduct, trace assets, and provide expert testimony in court. Their work employs techniques such as data analysis, financial statement review, interviews, evidence collection, and forensic technology tools (Kranacher & Riley, 2019). They apply their proficiency in financial analysis, auditing, and investigation to scrutinize financial records, detect indicators of wrongdoing, and resolve complex financial matters. Beyond fraud detection, forensic accounting plays a protective role in upholding financial integrity and corporate governance. Forensic accountants verify that financial statements accurately reflect a company's financial position (Albrecht et al., 2018). They also ensure compliance with financial reporting standards, thereby reducing the risk of financial misstatements and safeguarding the interests of shareholders and other stakeholders.

Fraud Detection Mechanisms

The study reveals that fraud detection is dominated by informal and internal mechanisms, with tips accounting for 43%, internal audit ranging from 14 to 17%, management review at 13%, and external audit at only 3%. These findings indicate that traditional audit systems are reactive, whereas forensic accounting introduces proactive detection mechanisms (Zioviris et al., 2024).

Contribution and role of Forensic Accounting

Forensic audits serve two main purposes: detecting existing fraud and deterring future misconduct. They aim to uncover financial fraud, asset misappropriation, and irregularities within organizations. Through careful scrutiny of financial statements, records, and supporting documents, forensic auditors can identify anomalies, suspicious transaction patterns, and potential red flags of fraud (Kaur et al., 2023). Furthermore, forensic audits act as a deterrent by creating a perception of oversight and increasing the chances of detection, thereby discouraging fraudulent behavior.

Forensic auditing addresses various forms of financial misconduct, including financial statement fraud, asset misappropriation, bribery, corruption, money laundering, and embezzlement (Hossain, 2026). Forensic auditors employ techniques such as interviews, analytical procedures, document examination, and forensic technology to collect and analyze evidence (Haddad et al., 2024). These methods allow them to trace transactions, identify irregularities, reconstruct financial events, and produce reliable evidence for legal proceedings.

This field requires a multidisciplinary approach, bringing together auditors, forensic accountants, legal experts, and investigators. It combines auditing principles with forensic expertise to effectively address financial misconduct and deliver accurate findings (Adejumo & Ogburie, 2025). Integrating forensic auditing into standard audit processes enables organizations to strengthen internal controls, improve risk management, and enhance their governance frameworks.

In summary, forensic auditing plays a critical role in detecting, deterring, and addressing financial fraud and irregularities. By applying auditing techniques within a forensic context, forensic auditors can expose fraud, identify control weaknesses, and supply evidence for legal purposes. Embedding forensic auditing into the audit function helps organizations reduce financial risks, protect assets, and promote transparency and accountability.

DISCUSSION

The findings confirm that forensic accounting significantly improves fraud detection compared to traditional auditing methods. This enhanced effectiveness is attributed to several key factors. First, forensic accounting employs

proactive detection strategies that identify potential fraud risks before they escalate, rather than merely reacting to irregularities after they have occurred (Honigsberg, 2020).

Second, it utilizes advanced analytical tools, including data mining, statistical analysis, and forensic technology platforms, which enable deeper scrutiny of financial transactions and patterns. Third, forensic accounting integrates seamlessly with organizational control systems, strengthening existing internal controls and creating a more robust governance framework (Capraş & Achim, 2023). Together, these elements make forensic accounting a superior approach for uncovering and preventing financial misconduct.

The study reinforces the foundational principles of the Fraud Triangle Theory, which identifies pressure, opportunity, and rationalization as the three core drivers of fraudulent behavior (Cheliatsidou et al., 2023; Sánchez-Aguayo et al., 2021). However, this research also acknowledges the evolution of fraud theory in the digital era. As technology becomes increasingly sophisticated, emerging fraud models now incorporate technological complexity as a key factor influencing fraud occurrence.

Factors such as cybersecurity vulnerabilities, digital anonymity, and the speed of electronic transactions have introduced new dimensions to fraud risk. Consequently, theoretical frameworks must adapt to account for these technological developments to remain relevant and effective in explaining contemporary fraud phenomena (Billings et al., 2021).

Despite the demonstrated effectiveness of forensic accounting, several persistent barriers hinder its widespread implementation. High implementation costs remain a significant obstacle, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises with limited financial resources (Caines & Zhang, 2026). The acquisition of specialized forensic software, hiring of qualified personnel, and ongoing training require substantial investment. Additionally, there is a notable shortage of technical expertise in the field, as forensic accounting demands a unique combination of accounting, auditing, investigative, and legal skills that are not commonly found within traditional finance departments.

Organizational resistance also poses a challenge, as some employees and managers may view forensic accounting as intrusive or threatening, leading to reluctance in adopting these practices. Furthermore, weak regulatory enforcement in many jurisdictions reduces the incentive for organizations to invest in forensic accounting measures, as the perceived risk of penalties for non-compliance remains low (Anghel & Poenaru, 2023). Compounding these challenges, the rise of artificial intelligence-driven fraud introduces new detection difficulties that require advanced technical expertise beyond traditional forensic methods.

AI-powered schemes can be highly sophisticated, automated, and difficult to trace, demanding that forensic accountants continuously upgrade their skills and tools to keep pace with evolving fraudulent techniques (Shpachuk et al., 2026). Organizations should take several practical steps to enhance their fraud detection and prevention capabilities. These include institutionalizing forensic accounting units within their corporate structures, investing in artificial intelligence-driven fraud detection tools to keep pace with evolving threats, strengthening whistleblower mechanisms to encourage the reporting of suspicious activities, and enhancing regulatory compliance frameworks to ensure adherence to legal and ethical standards (Wang et al., 2025; Zangana et al., 2026).

CONCLUSION

This study established that forensic accounting is a critical instrument in combating corporate financial fraud, as it enhances detection accuracy, reduces financial losses, and strengthens governance systems. The key conclusions drawn from this research indicate that forensic accounting significantly outperforms traditional auditing in fraud detection. Furthermore, technology integration is transforming forensic accounting practices, enabling more sophisticated analysis and proactive identification of irregularities. However, organizational and regulatory barriers must be addressed to fully realize the potential of forensic accounting. Finally, future fraud prevention strategies must be technology-driven to keep pace with evolving financial crimes and increasingly complex fraudulent schemes.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks all reviewers whose contributions greatly contributed to the improvement of this study.

Funding Information

This research had no external funding.

Author's Contributions

Emmanuel Asilaza conceptualised the study, developed the research design, conducted the literature review,

collected and analysed the data, interpreted the findings, and prepared the manuscript. The author was solely responsible for the overall coordination of the study and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

Ethics

No ethical issues.

References

- Aksoy, T., & Uzay, S. (2021). Relationship between fraud auditing and forensic accounting. In *Auditing ecosystem and strategic accounting in the digital era: Global approaches and new opportunities* (pp. 127-146). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Adejumo, A., & Ogburie, C. (2025). Forensic accounting in financial fraud detection: Trends and challenges. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 14(3), 1219-1232. <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2025.14.3.0815>
- Afriyie, S. O., Akomeah, M. O., Amoakohene, G., Ampimah, B. C., Ocloo, C. E., & Kyei, M. O. (2023). Forensic accounting: A novel paradigm and relevant knowledge in fraud detection and prevention. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 46(9), 615-624.
- Ali, A. M., Khinger, I. K., Subhe, A., & Al-Orfali, A. K. (2024). Forensic accounting techniques in detecting Frauds. *Journal of Ecohumanism*, 3(5), 543-558.
- Anghel, G., & Poenaru, C. E. (2023). Forensic Accounting, a Tool for Detecting and Preventing the Economic Fraud. *Valahian Journal of Economic Studies*, 14(2), 87-100.
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. (2024). *Occupational fraud 2024: Report to the nations*.
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. (2025). *Top fraud trends of 2025*.
- Baptiste, Z. S. (2024). *Are Fraud and Corruption Culturally Systemic: A Case Study of the Government Sector in the United States Virgin Islands* (Doctoral dissertation, National University).
- Billings, B. A., Crumbley, D. L., & Knott, C. L. (2021). Tangible and intangible costs of white-collar crime. *Journal of Forensic and Investigative Accounting*, 13(2), 288-301.
- Caines, M., & Zhang, Y. (2026). How White is Low-Level White-Collar Crime? A Multi-Level Comparison of Fraud and Street Crime. *American Journal of Criminal Justice*, 51(1), 216-242.
- Capraş, I. L., & Achim, M. V. (2023). An overview of forensic accounting and its effectiveness in the detection and prevention of fraud. *Economic and financial crime, sustainability and good governance*, 319-346.
- Center for Audit Quality. (2024). *Fighting fraud: A shared responsibility*.
- Cheliatsidou, A., Sariannidis, N., Garefalakis, A., Azibi, J., & Kagias, P. (2023). The international fraud triangle. *Journal of Money Laundering Control*, 26(1), 106-132.
- Counter Fraud Authority. (2024). *Occupational fraud report insights*.
- Dalwadi, P. (2023). Uncovering financial fraud: the role of forensic accounting in preventing and detecting fraud in India. *International Journal of Management, Public Policy and Research*, 2(2), 1-5.
- Deloitte. (2025). *Fraud evolution and organizational response strategies*.
- Đukić, T., Pavlović, M., & Grđinić, V. (2023). Uncovering Financial Fraud: The Vital Role of Forensic Accounting and Auditing in Modern Business Practice. *Economic Themes*, 61(3).
- Hantzmon Wiebel LLP. (2025). *ACFE fraud report key findings*.
- Haddad, H. O. S. S. A. M., Alharasis, E. E., Fraij, J., & Al-Ramahi, N. M. (2024). How do innovative improvements in forensic accounting and its related technologies sweeten fraud investigation and prevention. *WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics*, 21, 1115-1141.
- Hossain, M. Z. (2026). Emerging trends in forensic accounting: Data analytics, cyber forensic accounting, cryptocurrencies, and blockchain technology for fraud investigation and prevention. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Technological Development*, 2(2), 183-208.
- Honigsberg, C. (2020). Forensic accounting. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 16(1), 147-164.
- Kaur, B., Sood, K., & Grima, S. (2023). A systematic review on forensic accounting and its contribution towards fraud detection and prevention. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, 31(1), 60-95.
- KPMG. (2025). *Fraud and white-collar crime trends*.
- Kranacher, M. J., & Riley, R. (2019). *Forensic accounting and fraud examination*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Ma, X., & Wu, D. (2025). Financial Fraud Litigation and Forensic Accounting. In *Financial Fraud Detection Using Machine Learning* (pp. 57-62). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-95-0840-2_5

- Okoye, K. R. E., & Mbanugo, C. I. (2020). Forensic Accounting a tool for fraud detection and prevention in the public tertiary institutions in South East Nigeria. *European Journal of Education Studies*, 7(6).
- Rezaee, Z., & Wang, J. (2019). Relevance of big data to forensic accounting practice and education. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 34(3), 268-288.
- Sánchez-Aguayo, M., Urquiza-Aguiar, L., & Estrada-Jiménez, J. (2021). Fraud detection using the fraud triangle theory and data mining techniques: A literature review. *Computers*, 10(10), 121.
- Sekhar, S. C., Sushmita, S., & Srinidhi, K. (2025) Unmasking Financial Deceit: A Study on Forensic Accounting in Fraud Detection. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.06.1225.4051>
- Shpachuk, V., Markova, O., & Adamyk, B. (2026). AI-driven financial fraud: key risks and legal protections for financial institutions. *Journal of Banking Regulation*, 27(1), 6.
- Wang, Y., Chiu, T., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2025). Financial statement fraud prediction system: A deep learning-based approach. *Journal of Forensic Accounting Research*, 10(1), 239-259.
- Zioviris, G., Kolomvatsos, K., & Stamoulis, G. (2024). An intelligent sequential fraud detection model based on deep learning. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 80(10), 14824-14847.
- Zangana, H. M., Suryawati, R. F., Mustafa, F. M., & Vitianingsih, A. V. (2026). AI in Forensic Accounting: Detecting Anomalies and Financial Fraud Through Intelligent Systems. In *AI in Digital Forensics and Cybercrime Investigation: Methods, Ethics, and Emerging Technologies* (pp. 125-162). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Publisher's Note: Scholarly Research Publications stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.